







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/308,017	05/12/1999	HOLGER LAUSCH	F-6201	5604
7:	590 04/18/2003			
JORDAN AND HAMBURG			EXAMINER	
122 EAST 42ND STREET NEW YORK, NY 10168		LASTRA, DANIEL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



*			2	
*	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)  LAUSCH, HOLGER	
Interview Summary	09/308,017	LAUSCH, HOLGER		
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DANIEL LASTRA	3622		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative,	PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>DANIEL LASTRA</u> .	(3)JACKIE STEADY			
(2) <u>JAMES MYHRE</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>03 April 2003</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applica		ntative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes, brief description:	es e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,10,22 and 23</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: Sizer (5,923,252)	and Frey (5,138,638)			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was read	ched. g) $oxtimes$ was not reached	. h)□ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the gereached, or any other comments: See Continuation		ed to if an agreement was		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the a allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	e no copy of the amendments			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFF INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply				

INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Application No. 09/308,017

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant Representative (AR) discussed the claimed features of detecting the number of potential customers and correlating this number with the number of actual buyers to determine the effectiveness of a message (ad) displayed to the potential customers. It was stressed that the ad was displayed to all of the potential customers and not only to those customers who entered the detection area and displayed some interest in the product as in Sizer. The Examiner noted that Sizer disclosed the prior art systems presented ads to everyone passing by and discussed a method for discriminating between potential customers in order to present the ad only to those who are more likely to be interested in the product. The Examiner notes that this discloses both ways to define potential customer - everyone passing by or a filtered subset of passerbys. Also discussed was the correlation of the potential customers to the actual buyers of the product. The Examiner cited passages in Frey which disclose this feature. No agreement was reached..





Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

## Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

## 37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by
  attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does
  not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check the appropriate box at the bottom of the Form which informs the applicant that the submission of a separate record of the substance of the interview as a supplement to the Form is not required.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner.
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
  - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

## **Examiner to Check for Accuracy**

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/308,017

Applicant(s)

**Holger Lausch** 

Examiner

James W. Myhre

Art Unit 3622

	1 1111111111111111111111111111111111111
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO pe	ersonnel):
(1) James W. Myhre	(3) Jackie Steady
(2) Daniel Lastra	(4)
Date of Interview Apr 3, 2003	
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e	applicant's representative]  No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 10, 22, and 23	
Identification of prior art discussed:  Sizer et al (5,923,252) and Frey (5,138,638)	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) 🖾 was not reached. h) 🗆 N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nany other comments:	ature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
customers who entered the detection area and displayed son that Sizer disclosed that prior art systems presented ads to e	determine the effectiveness of a message (ad) displayed to played to all of the potential customers and not only to those the interest in the product as in Sizer. The Examiner noted everyone passing by and discussed a method for the ad only to those who are more likely to be interested in the adonomore potential customer - everyone passing by or a tion of the potential customers to the actual buyers of the
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendm allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no coavailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ents which the examiner agreed would render the claims by of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separat	e record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPER already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of the Interview.	section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has ITHIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
	JAMES W. MYHRE PRIMARY EXAMINER ABTUNIT 3622
	/ A

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03-98)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required